IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA STATESVILLE DIVISION 5:07cv103

RING INDUSTRIAL GROUP, LP,)
Plaintiff,))
Vs.	ORDER
E Z SET TANK COMPANY, INC., d/b/a E-Z SET COMPANY; INTERNATIONAL CUSHIONING CORP.; INTERNATIONAL CUSHIONING COMPANY LLC; and ICC TECHNOLOGIES INC.,)))))
Defendants.)))

THIS MATTER is before the court on a Certification and Report of Conference (#21), which reflects that respective counsel were unable to agree on a number of issues. Lacking a complete plan for discovery, the court will set this matter for an Initial Pretrial Conference.

As a matter of course, respective counsel are advised, in advance, that the Judges of the Western District of North Carolina expects that counsel in all cases will employ professional courtesy while zealously representing their clients.

Counsel should come prepared to discuss fully all their obligations under Rule 26, as well as the scheduling of a *Markman* hearing. To assist the parties in their preparation, the court has attached to this Order a more thorough Certificate of Initial Attorneys Conference, which the court may discuss line-by-line during the IPC.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that this action is set for an Initial Pretrial Conference on Friday, November 9, 2007, at 2:30 p.m. in Courtroom #2, Asheville, NC.

Signed: November 1, 2007

Dennis L. Howell

United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA DIVISION

		cv	
Vs.		Plaintiff(s),) CERTIFICATE OF INITIAL ATTORNEYS CONFERENCE	
		Defendant(s).	
I.	Cer	Certification of Meeting: Rule 26(f)	
	1.	The parties met in person by other means on	
	2.	The parties did not meet for the following reason:	
		One or more parties is not represented by an attorney.	
		One or more parties refused to meet.	
		Other (please attach explanation)	
	2.	The parties were able to agree on the following proposals.	
	3.	The parties were unable to agree and will submit separate forms.	
II.	Me	diation and Settlement	
	1.	The parties have conferred as to the nature and basis of their	
		claims and defenses and the possibilities for promptly resolving	

			this case.
	2.		The parties do not believe that mediation would be productive for
			the following reason:
	3.		The parties believe that amicable resolution of this matter is
			possible through mediation a judicial settlement conference
			or another method of ADR (please specify:).
			The proposed deadline for completion of mediation or other form
			of ADR is
	4.		The parties have agreed on a mediator, who is
	5.		The parties request that mediation or any other method of ADR
			be completed by this date:
III.	Initi	al Disc	elosures
	1.		The parties have stipulated out of providing initial disclosures.
			Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)
	2.		The parties are exempted by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(E) from the
			disclosure requirements.
	3.		The parties have provided each other with their initial disclosures
			as of the filing of this certificate.
	4.		The parties will provide each other with initial disclosures by
			·

IV.	Preservation	on of Discoverable Information
	1	The parties have discussed steps taken by each side as to their
		obligations to preserve discoverable information and they ARE
		satisfied with such assurances.
	2	The parties have discussed steps taken by each side as to their
		obligations to preserve discoverable information and are NOT
		satisfied with such assurances.
		The parties request a conference on such issue with the
		court.
		The parties anticipate the filing of a Motion for Protective
		Order concerning preservation of discoverable evidence by
		this date:
V.	Flactronic	eally Stored Information ("ESI")
٧.		
	1	The parties do not desire disclosure and discovery of ESI.
		Instead, they will seek discovery that may be stored in electronic
		format through traditional discovery methods, and release each
		other from the additional requirements that may be applicable to
		ESI discovery.
	2	The parties desire disclosure and discovery of ESI in accordance
		with the methods specified for ESI under the Federal Rules of

	Civil	Procedure and:
a.		counsel certify that they have advised their clients and key
		persons of their obligation to preserve relevant or
		discoverable ESI while this action is pending.
	i.	they have designated ESI coordinators and served
		the other parties with the names of such
		coordinators, that such coordinators were named
		well in advance of the IAC, and that such
		coordinators were present at the conference; or
	ii.	they have mutually waived designation of ESI
		coordinators.
b.		the parties have agreed as to the methods, formats, and
		procedures to be used and seek court approval of such
		agreement. (Attach agreement to this certificate) If good
		cause is shown therein, the court may adopt and
		incorporate any such agreement or protocol into the Pretrial
		Order by reference.
c.		the parties have not agreed as to the methods, formats, and
		procedures to be used and will seek court intervention on

This form (or a reasonable substitute), a proposed Pretrial Order, and the Joint Stipulation to Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction, must be filed within 5 days of completion of the Initial Attorneys Conference. LCvR 16.1(b) (W.D.N.C. 2007)

ESI discovery. In furtherance of such request, the parties

		shown therein, the court may adopt and incorporate any
		such protocol into the Pretrial Order by reference.
	3.	The parties request that the deadline for completion of ESI
		discovery be or that it be co-terminus with
		the deadline for completion of regular discovery.
VI.		of Sensitive Information, and Preservation of the Work vivilege, the Attorney-Client Privilege, and Other Privileges.
	1	The parties have discussed the protection of sensitive
		information, and the preservation of the work-product privilege,
		the attorney-client privilege, and other privileges and have agreed
		that NO protective orders need to be submitted at this time. The
		parties reserve the right to seek issuance of protective orders at
		a later date, which would require the filing of a motion showing
		good cause along with any proposed protective order.
	2	The parties have discussed the protection of sensitive
		information, and the preservation of the work-product privilege,
		the attorney-client privilege, and other privileges and have agreed
		on Protective Order(s) to protect, preserve, and/or assert these
		claims even after inadvertent production. (Attach any proposed

have submitted their own protocols. If good cause is

Protective Orders) If good cause is shown therein, the court may adopt and incorporate any such agreement or protective order into the Pretrial Order by reference.

3.	 The parties have discussed the protection of sensitive information
	and preservation of the work product, attorney-client, and other
	privileges and have NOT agreed on a procedure to preserve and
	assert these claims after inadvertent production. (Attach any
	proposed procedure) If good cause is shown therein, the court
	may adopt and incorporate any such agreement or protective order
	into the Pretrial Order by reference.

VII. Disclosure of Expert Testimony

1.	 The parties do not anticipate the use of experts in this case.
2.	 The parties have exchanged their designations of experts and
	expert reports.
3.	 The parties have not exchanged designations, and request the
	following deadlines for designation of experts and serving expert
	reports:
	Plaintiff(s) experts:
	Defendant(s) experts:
	Any rebuttal experts:

VII. Discovery, Motions, and Trial Plan.

1.	Disc	covery. The parties jointly propose the following discovery plan: (If
	the p	parties disagree as to any line item, each proposal should be set forth
	here	in)
	a.	discovery completion:
	b.	discovery completion as to the issue of
		shall be completed by
	c.	no more than single part interrogatories by each
		party to any other party.
	d.	no more than requests for admission by each party to
		any other party.
	e.	no more than depositions of fact witnesses by any
		party.
2.	Mot	tions. The parties jointly propose that all potentially dispositive
	moti	ions as well as <u>Daubert</u> motions be filed in this matter not later than
		·
3.	Tria	al. The parties jointly propose that trial be conducted during the first
	trial	term beginning on or after
	a.	The parties agree that this is for jury trial non-
		jury trial.

1	b The parties cannot agree on whether this is for jury trial.
	c The parties estimate that the trial will last days.
VIII. Trial l	by a United States Magistrate Judge.
	The parties have discussed the issue of consent to the jurisdiction of a
-	United States Magistrate Judge. The parties understand that to secure
1	the services of a United States Magistrate Judge for trial, they would
1	need to file the Joint Stipulation of Consent to Exercise of
<u>.</u>	Jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge with this CIAC.
IX. Comp	letion of Proposed Pretrial Order
	The parties have completed a proposed Pretrial Order, and are filing it
with this Cer	tification.
,	The parties have NOT completed a proposed Pretrial Order for the
following rea	son:
This _	day of
[signatures, e	electronic or conventional, of counsel]